

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANGELA MIRANDA,

Plaintiff,

v.

OMNI FAMILY HEALTH,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:24-cv-01473-KES-CDB

ORDER ON STIPULATION EXTENDING
TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO
COMPLAINT

(Doc. 7)

ORDER VACATING MARCH 6, 2025,
SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

Relevant Background

On October 21, 2024, Plaintiff Angela Miranda (“Plaintiff”) initiated this action with the filing of a complaint on behalf of herself and a putative class of others against Defendant Omni Family Health (“Defendant”) in the Superior Court of the State of California, Kern County, entitled *Angela Miranda v. Omni Family Health*, Case No. BCV-24-103588. (Doc. 1-1). On December 4, 2024, Defendant removed the action to this Court. (Doc. 1). On December 5, 2024, the parties stipulated pursuant to Local Rule 144(a) to extend by 28 days the time for Defendant to respond to the complaint, up to and including January 8, 2025. (Doc. 5).

This action is one of several similar class action suits brought in or removed to this Court in which plaintiffs assert similar claims against Defendant. *See, e.g., Gober Villatoro Guerra v.*

1 *Omni Family Health*, Case No. 1:24-cv-01492-JLT-CDB (“*Guerra*”) (Doc. 6). In *Guerra*, the
2 Court noted the class action complaints here and the other Omni actions allege substantially
3 similar facts and nearly identical causes of action against Defendant. (*Id.* at 1-2) (“From review
4 of the several complaints, it appears these actions arise from a recent, alleged cyberattack
5 resulting in a data breach of sensitive information in the possession and custody and/or control
6 of Defendant (the ‘Data Breach’.”).

7 The Court ordered Defendant to file a Notice of Related Cases in accordance with Local
8 Rule 123(b) in *Guerra* and the identified Omni actions therein, including the instant action. (*Id.*
9 at 2). The Court further ordered the parties in *Guerra* to show cause why this action should or
10 should not be consolidated pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a). (*Id.*). On
11 December 27, 2024, Defendant filed the Notice of Related Cases and identified as related, *inter*
12 *alia*, the instant action. (*Guerra*, Doc. 8 at ¶ 7). On December 30, 2024, the parties in *Guerra*
13 filed a joint status report in response to the Court’s show cause order. (*Guerra*, Doc. 9). Therein,
14 Defendant represents it intends to file a motion to substitute the United States in the matter and
15 all other related Omni matters. (*Id.* at 1).

16 The *Guerra* parties represent that they will file in the first filed of the Omni federal
17 actions – *Ellen Pace v. Omni Family Health*, Case No. 1:24-cv-01277-JLT-CDB – a joint
18 stipulation and proposed order consolidating and staying the Omni actions pending resolution of
19 the earlier of Defendant’s forthcoming motion to substitute or motions to remand in *Abraham*,
20 *et al. v. Omni Family Health*, Case No. 1:24-cv-01456-CDB (“*Abraham*”) (*Abraham*, Doc. 7) as
21 well as *Scott Stevenson and Marcos Mantoya v. Omni Family Health*, No. 1:24-cv-01459-CDB
22 (“*Stevenson*”) (*Stevenson*, Doc. 11). (*Id.* at 2).

23 **Pending Stipulated Request**

24 Pending before the Court is the parties’ stipulated request to extend by 30 additional days
25 the time for Defendant to respond to the complaint, through and including February 7, 2025,
26 filed on January 7, 2025. (Doc. 7). The parties represent the requested extension will allow time
27 for: (a) other federal court actions filed against Defendant to be consolidated; (b) the Court to
28 rule upon Defendant’s forthcoming motion to substitute the United States in this case as a

1 defendant pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 42 U.S.C. § 233; and (c) the Court to rule
2 upon the pending motions to remand. (Doc. 7 at 2). The parties represent that good cause exists
3 to grant the requested extension in the efficiencies from allowing consolidation to occur and
4 ruling on the pending motions to substitute and to remand. (*Id.*).

5 **Conclusion and Order**

6 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

7 1. Defendant shall have until **February 7, 2025**, to respond to the complaint by filing
8 an answer or other responsive pleading. *See* Local Rule 144(a); and
9 2. The scheduling conference set for March 6, 2025 (Doc. 4) is VACATED to be reset
10 as necessary following ruling on the pending motions to remand in *Stevenson* and
11 *Abraham* and resolution of the issue of consolidation.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: **January 10, 2025**


14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28